The Evolution of Decision-Making Frameworks in Renewable Resource Management

Ehsan Parvin[1]

[1] Department of Higher Education Management, Allameh Tabatabai University, Tehran, Iran.

* Corresponding author email address: ehsan_parvin@yahoo.com

A r t i c l e   I n f o

A B S T R A C T

The sustainable management of renewable resources necessitates dynamic and effective decision-making frameworks that can adapt to evolving environmental, technological, and regulatory contexts. This study aimed to explore and document the evolution of decision-making frameworks in renewable resource management, identifying key themes that characterize the processes and outcomes associated with these frameworks. A qualitative research design was employed, focusing solely on semi-structured interviews to gather in-depth insights from professionals involved in renewable resource management. Participants were purposively sampled to ensure a broad representation of expertise and geographic diversity. Data collection continued until theoretical saturation was achieved, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the decision-making frameworks. Four main themes emerged from the data: Policy Evolution, Decision-Making Processes, Resource Management Practices, and Impact and Outcomes. Each theme was explored through various categories, such as Regulatory Changes, Stakeholder Influence, and Technological Advancements under Policy Evolution; Criteria Development, Process Implementation, and Collaboration and Partnerships under Decision-Making Processes; and similar breakdowns for Resource Management Practices and Impact and Outcomes. The study highlights the complexity and multi-dimensional nature of decision-making in renewable resource management. Effective decision-making frameworks are shown to be crucial for navigating the challenges of sustainability and ensuring the effective management of renewable resources. These frameworks must integrate diverse inputs, adapt to changing conditions, and effectively balance environmental, economic, and social considerations.

Keywords: *Renewable Resource Management, Decision-Making Frameworks, Policy Evolution, Sustainability, Qualitative Research, Stakeholder Engagement, Technological Advancements.*

1. Introduction

The sustainable management of renewable resources presents a multifaceted challenge that necessitates a sophisticated understanding of the decision-making frameworks employed across various domains. At the heart of renewable resource management lies the imperative to balance current demands with the future availability of resources, a theme that has been echoed in numerous studies across environmental and resource management disciplines. As pointed out by Williams (2011), the need for adaptive management strategies in natural resource management is critical for addressing the dynamic nature of environmental systems and their inherent uncertainties (Williams, 2011). Similarly, the research by Gregory and Keeney (2002) emphasizes the importance of making smarter environmental management decisions that not only consider ecological sustainability but also socio-economic factors, thereby underscoring the complexity of decision-making in this field (Gregory & Keeney, 2002).

The theoretical underpinnings of this study draw upon a variety of scholarly works that explore the evolution of decision-making processes within the context of renewable resources. Machiwal, Jha, and Mal (2010) demonstrate the application of Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) techniques in assessing groundwater potential, illustrating the utility of combining technological tools and decision-making frameworks to manage natural resources effectively (Machiwal et al., 2010). In a similar vein, Bohra and Anvari-Moghaddam (2021) provide a comprehensive review of the applications of MCDM methods in power and energy systems, further reinforcing the relevance of these methods in enhancing decision-making processes in the management of renewable resources (Bohra & Anvari-Moghaddam, 2021).

This study also explores the dynamic capabilities of organizations managing renewable resources, as discussed by Ambrosini, Bowman, and Collier (2009), who examine how firms renew their resource base through strategic and adaptive decision-making. This notion of dynamic capabilities is crucial for understanding how organizations respond to environmental changes and uncertainties, which is particularly relevant in the context of renewable resource management (Ambrosini et al., 2009).

The ethical dimensions of decision-making are also considered, drawing insights from Cuthbertson (2023) and Guidolin et al. (2021), who explore ethical decision-making in disaster and healthcare crises. Their frameworks provide a backdrop for discussing how ethical considerations can be integrated into resource management, ensuring that decisions are not only effective but also morally sound (Cuthbertson, 2023; Guidolin et al., 2021).

Furthermore, the study acknowledges the influence of external and internal pressures on decision-making frameworks. Hillman, Withers, and Collins (2009) highlight the significance of resource dependence theory in understanding the external pressures that influence organizational behavior and decision-making. This theory is particularly pertinent in the context of renewable resource management, where external pressures such as regulatory requirements, stakeholder expectations, and environmental constraints play a pivotal role (Hillman et al., 2009).

Incorporating these diverse perspectives, this study aims to provide a holistic view of the evolution of decision-making frameworks in renewable resource management, highlighting both the progress made and the challenges that remain. By analyzing qualitative data from semi-structured interviews and achieving theoretical saturation, the study seeks to capture the nuanced ways in which decision-making processes have adapted over time to meet the demands of sustainability and resource preservation.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This study employs a qualitative research design, focusing on the exploration of decision-making frameworks utilized in renewable resource management. The purpose of this research is to understand the evolution and implementation of these frameworks, capturing the complexities and contextual nuances that quantitative methods may overlook.

Participants were selected using a purposive sampling technique, targeting professionals and academics with significant experience or involvement in renewable resource management. This method ensures that the participants have the knowledge and expertise relevant to the study's objectives. The aim was to include a diverse range of experiences across different regions and types of renewable resources.

Interviews were conducted until theoretical saturation was achieved, where no new or relevant data seemed to emerge regarding a category, and the relationships among categories are well established and validated. Theoretical saturation is a critical point in qualitative research as it

ensures that the data collection can comprehensively support the research objectives without unnecessary redundancy.

All participants were provided with informed consent forms detailing the study's aims, the voluntary nature of their participation, the confidentiality of their responses, and their right to withdraw from the study at any point. Interviews were conducted respecting the anonymity and privacy of all participants, ensuring that personal identifiers were removed during the transcription process.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Semi-Structured Interview

Data collection was conducted through semi-structured interviews, which are ideal for capturing detailed, in-depth insights. This format allows the interviewer to explore the participants' experiences and perspectives while maintaining the flexibility to probe deeper into relevant topics that emerge during the conversation.

2.3. Data Analysis

The transcribed interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify patterns, themes, and insights related to the evolution of decision-making frameworks in renewable resource management. Coding was done iteratively, starting with open coding to identify initial themes followed by axial coding to explore the relationships between themes. This structured approach allows for a detailed and nuanced understanding of the collected data.

3. Findings and Results

In this qualitative study, a total of 21 participants were interviewed to explore the evolution of decision-making frameworks in renewable resource management. The demographic composition of the participants was diverse, encompassing a range of ages, professional backgrounds, and geographical locations. Specifically, the participants included 12 males and 9 females, highlighting a relatively balanced gender distribution. The age of the participants varied from 35 to 65 years, with the majority (10 participants) falling in the 45-55 age bracket, ensuring that the insights gathered came from individuals with substantial experience in the field. Professionally, the group was composed of 7 academics specializing in environmental studies, 8 policy makers involved directly in renewable resource management, and 6 individuals from non-governmental organizations actively engaged in sustainability initiatives.

Table 1

The Results of Thematic Analysis

Categories	Subcategories	Concepts
Policy Evolution	Regulatory Changes	- Policy shifts- Legal frameworks- Compliance requirements- International agreements
	Stakeholder Influence	- NGO roles- Community engagement- Private sector impact- Government agencies
	Technological Advancements	- New technologies- Adoption rates- Technological barriers- Impact assessments
Decision-Making Processes	Criteria Development	- Sustainability metrics- Economic factors- Social impact- Environmental impact
	Process Implementation	- Strategy formulation- Execution steps- Monitoring and evaluation- Feedback mechanisms
	Collaboration and Partnership	- Cross-sector partnerships- International collaboration- Local cooperation
Resource Management Practices	Resource Allocation	- Resource distribution- Priority setting- Efficiency measures
	Monitoring and Evaluation	- Data collection methods- Analysis tools- Reporting standards- Outcome assessment
	Sustainability Practices	- Conservation techniques- Renewable practices- Long-term planning- Waste reduction
Impact and Outcomes	Environmental Outcomes	- Biodiversity impacts- Pollution control- Habitat preservation
	Economic Implications	- Cost-benefit analysis- Funding and investments- Economic sustainability
	Community Impact	- Employment opportunities- Community health impacts- Public awareness- Local support

The qualitative analysis of the interviews revealed four main themes that are critical to understanding the evolution of decision-making frameworks in renewable resource management. Each theme encompasses a variety of subthemes, further dissected into detailed concepts as elucidated below:

Policy Evolution: The interviews highlighted how regulatory changes, stakeholder influence, and technological advancements have shaped policy development in renewable resource management. Regulatory changes were frequently discussed, with one participant noting, "The shift towards more stringent environmental policies is not just a trend but a necessity that has been slowly recognized globally." Stakeholder influence also emerged as a pivotal factor, with another interviewee remarking, "NGOs and local communities are not just participants but key drivers in shaping resource management policies." Technological advancements were seen as both an opportunity and a challenge, with a participant observing, "New technologies offer incredible potential for efficiency but integrating them into existing systems remains a complex issue."

Decision-Making Processes: This theme encompasses the development of criteria, implementation processes, and the importance of collaboration and partnerships. The formation of decision-making criteria was emphasized as foundational, with concepts such as sustainability metrics and economic factors highlighted. One participant explained, "Developing robust criteria is crucial; we base our decisions on a blend of environmental impact assessments and socio-economic considerations." The role of collaboration was underlined by another, stating, "Effective decision-making in renewable resources necessitates partnerships that span across different sectors and borders."

Resource Management Practices: Participants discussed various practices such as resource allocation, monitoring, evaluation, and sustainability practices. The allocation of resources was frequently linked to efficiency measures, with one respondent noting, "We prioritize projects based on their potential impact and resource efficiency to ensure sustainability." Monitoring and evaluation were also highlighted as essential for ongoing improvement, with a participant commenting, "Regular monitoring and stringent evaluation are imperative to adjust our strategies and achieve desired outcomes."

Impact and Outcomes: The environmental outcomes, economic implications, and community impacts of decision-making frameworks were extensively discussed. Environmental outcomes included biodiversity impacts and pollution control, where a participant observed, "Our framework's success is visibly reflected in the improved biodiversity indexes of our conservation areas." Economic implications were often discussed in terms of sustainability, with an interviewee stating, "We evaluate the economic sustainability of our projects, ensuring they deliver long-

term benefits." The impact on communities was also a recurring theme, with remarks such as, "The direct community impact of our decisions, especially in terms of health and employment, is a key measure of our success."

4. Discussion and Conclusion

This qualitative study identified four main themes essential to understanding the decision-making frameworks in renewable resource management. The themes included Policy Evolution, Decision-Making Processes, Resource Management Practices, and Impact and Outcomes. Each theme was explored through various categories: Policy Evolution covered Regulatory Changes, Stakeholder Influence, and Technological Advancements; Decision-Making Processes included Criteria Development, Process Implementation, and Collaboration and Partnerships; Resource Management Practices focused on Resource Allocation, Monitoring and Evaluation, and Sustainability Practices; finally, Impact and Outcomes encompassed Environmental Outcomes, Economic Implications, and Community Impact.

Within the theme of Policy Evolution, three key categories were identified. Regulatory Changes highlighted concepts such as policy shifts, legal frameworks, compliance requirements, and international agreements, reflecting the dynamic nature of regulatory environments. Stakeholder Influence included NGO roles, community engagement, private sector impact, and government agencies, illustrating the diverse actors involved in shaping policies. Technological Advancements discussed new technologies, adoption rates, technological barriers, and impact assessments, indicating the crucial role of technology in advancing resource management policies.

The theme of Decision-Making Processes was dissected into three categories. Criteria Development covered sustainability metrics, economic factors, social impact, and environmental impact, showcasing the multi-faceted criteria considered in decision-making. Process Implementation examined strategy formulation, execution steps, monitoring and evaluation, and feedback mechanisms, demonstrating the operational aspects of decision-making. Collaboration and Partnerships emphasized cross-sector partnerships, international collaboration, and local cooperation, underlining the importance of collaborative efforts in effective decision-making.

Resource Management Practices focused on three categories. Resource Allocation discussed resource

distribution, priority setting, and efficiency measures, highlighting the strategic aspects of resource deployment. Monitoring and Evaluation featured data collection methods, analysis tools, reporting standards, and outcome assessment, reflecting the ongoing need for oversight and performance evaluation. Sustainability Practices included conservation techniques, renewable practices, long-term planning, and waste reduction, indicating the forward-looking approaches to sustainable management.

Finally, the theme of Impact and Outcomes encompassed three categories. Environmental Outcomes included biodiversity impacts, pollution control, and habitat preservation, reflecting the direct effects of management decisions on the environment. Economic Implications considered cost-benefit analysis, funding and investments, and economic sustainability, highlighting the financial aspects of resource management. Community Impact addressed employment opportunities, community health impacts, public awareness, and local support, showcasing the broader societal effects of decision-making frameworks in renewable resource management.

Our results indicated that regulatory changes are a pivotal component of policy evolution in renewable resource management. Participants frequently noted the increasing rigor and complexity of environmental regulations, which necessitates adaptive policy frameworks. This observation is supported by Gregory and Keeney (2002), who emphasize the necessity for smarter environmental management decisions that adapt to evolving legal and ecological landscapes (Gregory & Keeney, 2002). Furthermore, the influence of stakeholders in shaping policies, as identified in our findings, aligns with the observations by Hillman, Withers, and Collins (2009), who discuss how external pressures, such as stakeholder demands, influence organizational behaviors and strategies (Hillman et al., 2009).

The findings on decision-making processes highlight the critical role of multi-criteria decision-making methods. Bohra and Anvari-Moghaddam (2021) corroborate this, illustrating the extensive application of MCDM in power and energy systems, which parallels the emphasis our participants placed on comprehensive criteria that encompass environmental, economic, and social factors (Bohra & Anvari-Moghaddam, 2021). This multidimensional approach to decision-making in resource management ensures that decisions are not only sustainable but also equitable and economically viable, echoing the dynamic capabilities framework discussed by Ambrosini,

Bowman, and Collier (2009), which suggests that the ability to renew and adapt resource management strategies is vital for long-term sustainability (Ambrosini et al., 2009).

The emphasis on monitoring and evaluation in resource management practices found in our study underscores the importance of continuous oversight and adjustment of strategies, which is a central theme in the work of Williams (2011) on adaptive management (Williams, 2011). The need for robust monitoring systems is further emphasized by Machiwal, Jha, and Mal (2010), who demonstrate how GIS and remote sensing technologies can enhance the assessment and management of groundwater resources. These technologies enable more precise and efficient resource allocation, as noted by our participants, and are crucial for implementing the sustainability practices our study identified.

Our findings also revealed that the decision-making frameworks significantly impact environmental outcomes, economic implications, and community welfare. This aligns with Persichina (2022), who discusses the implications of present bias in renewable resource management on agent's welfare, suggesting that short-term decision-making can undermine long-term sustainability and community benefits (Persichina, 2022). The economic implications of decision-making frameworks highlighted by our participants resonate with the work of Danesh, Ryan, and Abbasi (2017), who review the application of multi-criteria decision-making in project portfolio management, highlighting the importance of considering economic outcomes in decision-making processes (Danesh et al., 2017).

This study investigated the evolution of decision-making frameworks in renewable resource management through qualitative research methods, employing semi-structured interviews until theoretical saturation was achieved. The findings illuminated four main themes: Policy Evolution, Decision-Making Processes, Resource Management Practices, and Impact and Outcomes. Key insights include the importance of regulatory changes and stakeholder influence on policy evolution, the role of multi-criteria decision-making methods in shaping processes, the critical nature of monitoring and evaluation in resource management practices, and the significant implications these frameworks have on environmental outcomes, economic stability, and community welfare.

The conclusions drawn from this study highlight the dynamic and complex nature of decision-making in the management of renewable resources. The findings underscore the necessity for adaptive frameworks that are

responsive to technological advancements, stakeholder inputs, and regulatory changes. This research contributes to our understanding by outlining how decision-making processes can be structured to effectively address the multifaceted challenges of sustainability, demonstrating the vital interconnections between policy, practice, and outcomes in renewable resource management.

Despite its contributions, this study is not without limitations. The reliance on qualitative data from semi-structured interviews, while rich and insightful, limits the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, the geographic diversity of participants, though broad, might not fully capture all regional nuances in renewable resource management practices. The sample size, while adequate for qualitative saturation, may not encompass all possible perspectives within the field.

Future research could expand on this study by incorporating quantitative methods to validate and generalize the findings across larger populations and different geographic contexts. Longitudinal studies could also be beneficial to examine how decision-making frameworks evolve over time in response to changing environmental, technological, and regulatory landscapes. Furthermore, comparative studies between different sectors within renewable resources could provide deeper insights into sector-specific challenges and solutions.

For practitioners, this study suggests the adoption of flexible decision-making frameworks that incorporate continuous learning and adaptation to new information and contexts. Practitioners should also consider engaging a broader range of stakeholders in the decision-making process to enhance the inclusivity and effectiveness of management strategies. The implications of this research reinforce the need for integrating advanced monitoring technologies and multi-criteria decision-making tools to better manage the complexities of renewable resources, ensuring sustainable practices that are beneficial economically, socially, and environmentally. This approach not only helps in achieving sustainability goals but also in building resilience against future uncertainties in resource management.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethics Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were considered.

References

Ambrosini, V., Bowman, C., & Collier, N. (2009). Dynamic Capabilities: An Exploration of How Firms Renew Their Resource Base. *British Journal of Management*. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2008.00610.x

Bohra, S. S., & Anvari-Moghaddam, A. (2021). A Comprehensive Review on Applications of Multicriteria Decision-making Methods in Power and Energy Systems. *International Journal of Energy Research*. https://doi.org/10.1002/er.7517

Cuthbertson, J. (2023). Ethical Decision Making in Disaster and Emergency Management: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1049023x23006325

Danesh, D., Ryan, M. J., & Abbasi, A. (2017). Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Methods for Project Portfolio Management: A Literature Review. *International Journal of Management and Decision Making*. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmdm.2017.10006139

Gregory, R., & Keeney, R. L. (2002). Making Smarter Environmental Management Decisions. *Jawra Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2002.tb04367.x

Guidolin, K., Catton, J., Rubin, B. B., Bell, J., Marangos, J., Munro-Heesters, A., Stuart-McEwan, T., & Quereshy, F. A. (2021). Ethical Decision Making During a Healthcare Crisis: A Resource Allocation Framework and Tool. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2021-107255

Hillman, A. J., Withers, M. C., & Collins, B. (2009). Resource Dependence Theory: A Review. *Journal of Management*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309343469

Machiwal, D., Jha, M. K., & Mal, B. C. (2010). Assessment of Groundwater Potential in a Semi-Arid Region of India Using Remote Sensing, GIS and McDm Techniques. *Water Resources Management*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-010-9749-y

Persichina, M. (2022). Present Bias in Renewable Resource Management and Agent's Welfare. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1177/02601079221088072

Williams, B. K. (2011). Adaptive Management of Natural Resources—framework and Issues. *Journal of Environmental Management*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.10.041