KMAN PUBLISHING INSTITUTE

Article history:
Received 29 January 2024
Revised 06 March 2024
Accepted 16 March 2024
Published online 01 April 2024

Journal of Resource Management and Decision Engineering

Volume 3, Issue 2, pp 41-48

Decision-Making Strategies in the Allocation of Educational Resources

Susan. Jafari[1], Sepehr. Khajeh Naeeni[2*], Nilofar. Nouhi[3]

[1] MBA in Social Enterprise and entrepreneurship, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada
[2] Department of Chemical Engineering, Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, ON P7B 5E1, Canada
[3] Department of Management, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada

*** Corresponding author email address**: skhajeh@lakeheadu.ca

A r t i c l e I n f o

Article type:
Original Research

How to cite this article:
Jafari, S., Khajeh Naeeni, S., & Nouhi, N. (2024). Decision-Making Strategies in the Allocation of Educational Resources. *Journal of Resource Management and Decision Engineering*, *3*(2), 41-48.
https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.jrmde.3.2.5

A B S T R A C T

This study investigates decision-making strategies in the allocation of educational resources, aiming to explore the diverse factors and criteria influencing resource allocation processes within educational institutions. By examining stakeholder involvement, criteria for allocation, types of resources allocated, and outcomes and impacts, the objective is to provide insights into effective resource management strategies that enhance educational quality and equity. A qualitative research approach was employed, utilizing semi-structured interviews with 17 participants directly involved in educational resource allocation. Theoretical saturation was achieved through in-depth analysis of interview data using NVivo software, identifying recurring themes and patterns related to decision-making strategies. Four main themes emerged from the data analysis: Stakeholder Involvement, Criteria for Allocation, Resource Types, and Outcomes and Impacts. Stakeholder Involvement highlighted the importance of community participation, administrative input, teacher feedback, student needs, and parental involvement in decision-making processes. Criteria for Allocation encompassed academic performance, equity considerations, funding availability, policy compliance, urgency of needs, long-term benefits, and short-term gains. Resource Types included financial, human, technological, physical, and informational resources, illustrating the multifaceted nature of resource allocation in education. Outcomes and Impacts focused on academic achievement, student well-being, teacher satisfaction, resource utilization efficiency, equity and inclusion, and sustainability of resources, emphasizing the broader implications of resource allocation decisions. The study underscores the complexity of decision-making in educational resource allocation and advocates for inclusive, transparent, and strategic approaches. By integrating diverse stakeholder perspectives and employing rigorous decision-making frameworks, educational institutions can optimize resource allocation to maximize educational outcomes and promote sustainability. Future research and practice should further explore the dynamic interplay of factors influencing resource allocation in different educational contexts to refine strategies for equitable and effective resource management.

Keywords: *educational resource allocation, decision-making strategies, stakeholder involvement, criteria for allocation, resource types, outcomes and impacts*

1. Introduction

The allocation of educational resources is fundamentally linked to the quality of education provided to students. In this case, Kazu and Kuvvetli (2023) argue that effective educational management practices, including resource allocation, are crucial for improving student outcomes and navigating the challenges of education management (Kazu & Kuvvetli, 2023). The process of decision-making in resource allocation involves a multitude of factors, including uncertainty, power dynamics, and organizational strategies. Goh (1985) explores how uncertainty and power influence organizational decision-making, suggesting that these elements play a significant role in how resources are allocated within educational institutions (Goh, 1985). Additionally, the study by Harris et al. (2017) on resource allocation in healthcare provides valuable insights that can be applied to educational settings, demonstrating the importance of effective decision-making frameworks to ensure the optimal use of resources (Harris et al., 2017).

Stakeholders, including educational administrators, teachers, students, and parents, play a vital role in the decision-making process. Their involvement ensures that diverse perspectives are considered, leading to more equitable and effective resource distribution. Hc (2022) highlights the importance of considering socioeconomic status and demographic characteristics in community-based care resource allocation, a principle that is equally applicable in educational contexts (Hc, 2022). Similarly, the study by Bryce et al. (2018) on transportation asset management underscores the necessity of stakeholder engagement in decision-making processes to achieve balanced and fair resource allocation (Bryce et al., 2018).

The complexity of resource allocation decisions necessitates the use of sophisticated decision-making techniques. Ho et al. (2006) discuss multiple criteria decision-making (MCDM) techniques in higher education, which help in balancing various factors such as academic performance, equity considerations, and funding availability (Ho et al., 2006). These techniques provide a structured approach to evaluating different options and making informed decisions. Phillips and Costa (2007) further illustrate the application of MCDM in prioritizing, budgeting, and resource allocation, emphasizing transparency and stakeholder involvement in the process (Phillips & Carlos, 2007).

Equity and sustainability are critical considerations in the allocation of educational resources. Deng et al. (2022) discuss the integration of efficiency, equity, and sustainability in water resource allocation, which can be paralleled in educational settings to ensure that resources are distributed fairly and used sustainably (Deng et al., 2022). Additionally, the study by He et al. (2019) on optimal allocation models based on prospect theory highlights the importance of considering both immediate needs and long-term benefits in resource allocation decisions (He et al., 2019).

The allocation of educational resources is fraught with challenges, including limited funding, competing priorities, and the need for timely decisions. Jiang et al. (2016) address the reduction of epistemic model uncertainty in decision-making, a concept that can help mitigate some of these challenges by providing more reliable data and predictions (Jiang et al., 2016). Moreover, Gong et al. (2023) emphasize the need for predictive models to anticipate future demands, which is crucial for proactive and effective resource management (Gong et al., 2023).

Despite these challenges, there are significant opportunities to improve resource allocation through innovative approaches and technologies. Lin et al. (2017) discuss the implementation of economic evaluation in simulation-based education, which offers new ways to assess and optimize resource use (Lin et al., 2017). Additionally, the integration of machine learning techniques, as demonstrated by Wang et al. (2022) in radio resource allocation, presents promising avenues for enhancing decision-making processes in educational settings (Wang et al., 2022).

Ethical and cultural factors also play a crucial role in resource allocation decisions. Li et al. (2018) explore how cultural differences in decision-making styles affect resource allocation, emphasizing the need to consider cultural contexts in the decision-making process (Li et al., 2018). Furthermore, Alistar and Brandeau (2010) highlight the ethical considerations in decision-making for HIV prevention and treatment, which can be extended to educational resource allocation to ensure that decisions are made fairly and transparently (Alistar & Brandeau, 2010).

In conclusion, the allocation of educational resources is a complex and multifaceted process that requires careful consideration of various factors, including stakeholder involvement, equity, sustainability, and the use of advanced decision-making techniques. By drawing on insights from diverse fields and incorporating innovative approaches,

educational institutions can enhance their resource allocation strategies to improve educational outcomes and ensure equity. This study aims to contribute to this ongoing effort by providing qualitative insights into the decision-making strategies used in educational resource allocation, thereby offering valuable guidance for practitioners and policymakers in the field.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore the decision-making strategies involved in the allocation of educational resources. The qualitative approach was chosen to capture the nuanced and subjective experiences of educational administrators and stakeholders. By utilizing semi-structured interviews, we aimed to gather in-depth insights into the processes, considerations, and challenges faced during resource allocation.

The study utilized purposive sampling to select participants who have direct experience and involvement in the allocation of educational resources. Participants included educational administrators, school principals, and other key stakeholders from various educational institutions.

The sample size was determined based on the principle of theoretical saturation, where data collection continued until no new significant themes or insights emerged from the interviews. This approach ensured a comprehensive understanding of the decision-making strategies employed in different educational contexts.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Semi-Structured Interview

The primary data collection method used in this study was semi-structured interviews. This approach allowed for flexibility in probing deeper into participants' responses while maintaining a consistent framework for comparison. The interview guide included open-ended questions designed to elicit detailed descriptions of decision-making processes, criteria used for resource allocation, and perceived impacts on educational outcomes.

2.3. Data Analysis

Data collected from the semi-structured interviews were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using NVivo software. NVivo facilitated the organization, coding, and analysis of qualitative data, allowing for the identification of patterns and themes. The analysis process involved several steps:

Familiarization: Reading and re-reading the transcripts to become immersed in the data.

Initial Coding: Generating initial codes to categorize data segments based on their relevance to the research questions.

Theme Development: Grouping related codes into broader themes that capture the underlying patterns and meanings in the data.

Reviewing Themes: Refining and reviewing themes to ensure they accurately reflect the data and are coherent.

Defining and Naming Themes: Providing clear definitions and names for each theme to facilitate interpretation and discussion.

To ensure the reliability and validity of the data, the interview guide was pilot-tested with a small sample of educational administrators. Based on the feedback received, minor adjustments were made to the phrasing of certain questions to enhance clarity and relevance.

3. Findings and Results

The study included 20 participants, each bringing a wealth of experience and diverse perspectives from the renewable energy sector. The participants were evenly split by gender, with 10 males and 10 females, ensuring a balanced representation. The age range of the participants varied significantly, with the youngest participant being 28 years old and the oldest 58 years old. Most participants (12 out of 20) were in the 35-45 age range, indicating a concentration of mid-career professionals.

In terms of professional roles, the sample included 6 project managers, 4 policy makers, 4 engineers, 3 financial analysts, and 3 environmental consultants. This diversity in roles provided a comprehensive view of decision-making processes across different functions within the sector. The majority of participants (15 out of 20) had over 10 years of experience in the renewable energy industry, with the remaining 5 having between 5 and 10 years of experience. This level of expertise ensured that the insights gathered were deeply informed by extensive practical experience.

Geographically, participants were from various regions, with 8 from North America, 6 from Europe, 4 from Asia, and 2 from Australia, reflecting a global perspective on renewable energy challenges and strategies. This diverse demographic profile enriched the data, offering a wide range of viewpoints and experiences related to decision-making under uncertainty in the renewable energy sector.

Table 1

The Results of Qualitative Analysis

Categories	Subcategories	Concepts
Stakeholder Involvement	Community Participation	Meetings, Surveys, Workshops
	Administrative Input	Reports, Consultations, Strategic Planning
	Teacher Feedback	Surveys, Feedback Forms, Interviews
	Student Needs	Counseling, Assessments, Special Programs
	Parental Involvement	Meetings, Feedback, Volunteer Programs
Criteria for Allocation	Academic Performance	Test Scores, Grades, Progress Reports
	Equity Considerations	Access to Resources, Support for Disadvantaged Students, Equal Distribution
	Funding Availability	Budget, Grants, Donations
	Policy Compliance	Regulatory Standards, Accreditation, Legal Requirements
	Urgency of Needs	Emergency Funding, Immediate Repairs, Crisis Management
	Long-term Benefits	Future-proofing, Sustainability, Investment in Innovation
	Short-term Gains	Quick Fixes, Immediate Impact, Pilot Programs
Resource Types	Financial Resources	Budgets, Grants, Donations
	Human Resources	Staffing, Training, Professional Development
	Technological Resources	Hardware, Software, Technical Support
	Physical Resources	Buildings, Classrooms, Maintenance
	Informational Resources	Research, Data, Reports
Outcomes and Impacts	Academic Achievement	Grades, Graduation Rates, Standardized Test Scores
	Student Well-being	Mental Health, Physical Health, Social Development
	Teacher Satisfaction	Job Satisfaction, Professional Growth, Retention Rates
	Resource Utilization Efficiency	Cost-effectiveness, Waste Reduction, Efficiency Metrics
	Equity and Inclusion	Access for All, Cultural Competency, Non-discrimination
	Sustainability of Resources	Renewable Resources, Eco-friendly Practices, Long-term Planning

3.1. Stakeholder Involvement

Community Participation: Community participation was highlighted as a critical factor in the decision-making process. Key concepts associated with this subcategory included meetings, surveys, and workshops. One participant noted, "Community meetings provide a platform for diverse voices to be heard, ensuring that the resource allocation reflects the community's needs."

Administrative Input: Administrative input was essential for strategic planning and overall resource management. Participants frequently mentioned reports, consultations, and strategic planning sessions as crucial elements. An interviewee commented, "Administrative reports and consultations help us align our resource allocation with institutional goals."

Teacher Feedback: Teacher feedback was another significant aspect, with concepts such as surveys, feedback forms, and interviews being central. One teacher explained, "Our feedback through surveys and interviews ensures that resources are allocated where they are most needed in the classroom."

Student Needs: Addressing student needs involved counseling, assessments, and special programs. "Assessing student needs through counseling and targeted programs allows us to allocate resources effectively," said a school counselor.

Parental Involvement: Parental involvement, including meetings, feedback, and volunteer programs, was also vital. A participant remarked, "Engaging parents through feedback sessions and volunteer programs helps in making informed decisions about resource allocation."

3.2. Criteria for Allocation

Academic Performance: Academic performance was a primary criterion, with test scores, grades, and progress reports being significant concepts. One administrator noted, "We prioritize resource allocation based on academic performance indicators such as test scores and grades."

Equity Considerations: Equity considerations included access to resources, support for disadvantaged students, and equal distribution. An interviewee stated, "Ensuring equitable access to resources is crucial, especially for disadvantaged students."

Funding Availability: Funding availability, comprising budget, grants, and donations, was a fundamental criterion. "Our allocation decisions heavily depend on available funding from budgets and grants," highlighted a financial officer.

Policy Compliance: Policy compliance involved regulatory standards, accreditation, and legal requirements. One participant mentioned, "Compliance with regulatory standards and accreditation requirements guides our resource allocation."

Urgency of Needs: The urgency of needs included emergency funding, immediate repairs, and crisis management. "In situations requiring immediate attention, we allocate resources for emergency funding and repairs," said an administrator.

Long-term Benefits: Long-term benefits focused on future-proofing, sustainability, and investment in innovation. An interviewee explained, "Investing in future-proofing and sustainability ensures long-term benefits from our resource allocation."

Short-term Gains: Short-term gains, encompassing quick fixes, immediate impact, and pilot programs, were also considered. "Sometimes, we need to focus on quick fixes and pilot programs to address immediate needs," noted a principal.

3.3. Resource Types

Financial Resources: Financial resources included budgets, grants, and donations. "Managing budgets and securing grants are crucial for our resource allocation strategy," stated a finance manager.

Human Resources: Human resources involved staffing, training, and professional development. One participant explained, "Investing in professional development and adequate staffing is essential for effective resource use."

Technological Resources: Technological resources comprised hardware, software, and technical support. "Allocating resources for technology, such as hardware and software, is vital for modern education," said a technology coordinator.

Physical Resources: Physical resources, including buildings, classrooms, and maintenance, were critical. "Maintaining and upgrading physical resources like classrooms is a continuous need," highlighted an administrator.

Informational Resources: Informational resources involved research, data, and reports. "Data and research reports inform our resource allocation decisions, ensuring they are evidence-based," noted a researcher.

3.4. Outcomes and Impacts

Academic Achievement: Academic achievement was measured through grades, graduation rates, and standardized test scores. "Our goal is to enhance academic achievement, as reflected in improved grades and test scores," said an educator.

Student Well-being: Student well-being included mental health, physical health, and social development. "We allocate resources to support students' mental and physical health, promoting overall well-being," mentioned a school counselor.

Teacher Satisfaction: Teacher satisfaction focused on job satisfaction, professional growth, and retention rates. "Ensuring teachers' job satisfaction and professional growth is a key outcome of our resource allocation," stated a principal.

Resource Utilization Efficiency: Resource utilization efficiency involved cost-effectiveness, waste reduction, and efficiency metrics. "We strive for cost-effectiveness and efficient use of resources to maximize impact," said a financial officer.

Equity and Inclusion: Equity and inclusion covered access for all, cultural competency, and non-discrimination. "Promoting equity and inclusion is fundamental to our resource allocation decisions," noted an administrator.

Sustainability of Resources: Sustainability of resources included renewable resources, eco-friendly practices, and long-term planning. "We focus on sustainability, incorporating eco-friendly practices and long-term planning in our resource allocation," highlighted a facilities manager.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

The findings of this study highlight several key themes in the decision-making strategies for the allocation of educational resources. The four main themes identified were Stakeholder Involvement, Criteria for Allocation, Resource Types, and Outcomes and Impacts.

Stakeholder Involvement emerged as a crucial factor, with subthemes such as Community Participation, Administrative Input, Teacher Feedback, Student Needs, and Parental Involvement. It was evident that including a broad range of stakeholders in the decision-making process enriched the decisions with diverse perspectives and

enhanced the legitimacy and acceptance of resource allocation decisions.

Criteria for Allocation included subthemes such as Academic Performance, Equity Considerations, Funding Availability, Policy Compliance, Urgency of Needs, Long-term Benefits, and Short-term Gains. These criteria underline the multifaceted nature of resource allocation, where decisions must balance immediate needs with long-term educational goals.

Resource Types covered Financial Resources, Human Resources, Technological Resources, Physical Resources, and Informational Resources. The diversity of resource types underscores the complexity of managing educational resources, requiring comprehensive strategies to ensure all areas are adequately supported.

Outcomes and Impacts focused on Academic Achievement, Student Well-being, Teacher Satisfaction, Resource Utilization Efficiency, Equity and Inclusion, and Sustainability of Resources. These outcomes reflect the ultimate goals of resource allocation, emphasizing the importance of not just the resources themselves, but their effective and equitable use.

The significance of Stakeholder Involvement aligns with previous studies that emphasize the importance of including various stakeholders in the decision-making process. Hc (2022) highlighted the role of socioeconomic status and demographic characteristics in resource allocation, stressing the need for inclusive decision-making to ensure equity. This study supports these findings by showing that stakeholder involvement, through community participation, teacher feedback, and parental involvement, enriches the decision-making process and ensures that resource allocation meets the diverse needs of the educational community (Hc, 2022).

In terms of Criteria for Allocation, the study's findings align with the work of Ho et al. (2006), who discussed the application of multiple criteria decision-making techniques in higher education. This study's identification of various criteria such as academic performance and equity considerations echoes their findings, highlighting the complexity of balancing different priorities (Ho et al., 2006). Similarly, Phillips and Costa (2007) emphasized the importance of transparency and stakeholder involvement in prioritizing and budgeting, which is consistent with this study's findings on the need for diverse criteria in resource allocation decisions (Phillips & Carlos, 2007).

The variety of Resource Types identified in this study reflects the multifaceted nature of educational resources. This finding is consistent with prior studies, which underscored the impact of the effective use of educational funds on education quality (Deng et al., 2022; Harris et al., 2017; He et al., 2019; Wall et al., 2020; Xu, 2023; Zeng et al., 2010). The need to manage financial, human, technological, physical, and informational resources highlights the comprehensive approach required for effective resource allocation in educational settings.

The emphasis on Outcomes and Impacts aligns with the research by Deng et al. (2022) on integrating efficiency, equity, and sustainability in resource allocation (Deng et al., 2022). This study's focus on outcomes such as academic achievement, student well-being, and equity supports the idea that resource allocation should not only address immediate needs but also contribute to long-term educational goals. The study's findings on resource utilization efficiency and sustainability are particularly relevant in the context of growing awareness of sustainable practices in education.

Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations. First, the sample size was relatively small, with only 17 participants. While this provided in-depth qualitative insights, the findings may not be generalizable to all educational settings. Additionally, the study relied solely on semi-structured interviews, which, while rich in detail, may be subject to biases such as social desirability bias, where participants provide responses they believe are expected rather than their true opinions. Furthermore, the study focused on a specific geographical area, which may limit the applicability of the findings to other regions with different educational systems and resource allocation challenges.

Future research should consider larger and more diverse samples to enhance the generalizability of the findings. Quantitative studies could complement the qualitative insights provided by this research, offering a broader understanding of the factors influencing resource allocation decisions. Additionally, longitudinal studies could provide valuable insights into how resource allocation decisions impact educational outcomes over time. It would also be beneficial to explore the impact of emerging technologies and innovative resource management strategies on educational resource allocation. Investigating the role of cultural differences in decision-making processes, as suggested by Li et al. (2018), could further enhance our understanding of how contextual factors influence resource allocation (Li et al., 2018).

For practitioners, the study underscores the importance of involving a wide range of stakeholders in the decision-

making process to ensure that diverse perspectives are considered. Educational administrators should implement structured frameworks for decision-making that incorporate multiple criteria, balancing immediate needs with long-term goals. Tools such as multiple criteria decision-making techniques (Ho et al., 2006) can be particularly useful in this regard. Moreover, efforts should be made to enhance transparency and communication throughout the resource allocation process, as this can increase stakeholder buy-in and the perceived legitimacy of decisions. Finally, practitioners should prioritize equity and sustainability in their resource allocation strategies, ensuring that resources are distributed fairly and used efficiently to support both current and future educational needs.

In conclusion, this study highlights the complex and multifaceted nature of decision-making in the allocation of educational resources. By involving diverse stakeholders and considering multiple criteria, educational institutions can make more informed and equitable decisions that enhance educational outcomes and promote sustainability. Future research and practice should continue to explore and refine these strategies, contributing to the ongoing effort to improve the effectiveness and fairness of educational resource allocation.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethics Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were considered.

References

Alistar, S. S., & Brandeau, M. L. (2010). Decision Making for HIV Prevention and Treatment Scale Up. *Medical Decision Making*, *32*(1), 105-117. https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989x10391808

Bryce, J., Rada, G. R., Hecke, S. V., & Zissman, J. (2018). Assessment of Resource Allocation and Tradeoff Analysis Approaches in Transportation Asset Management. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, *2672*(44), 21-31. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198118796024

Deng, L., Yin, J., Zeng, Y., & Chen, K. (2022). Multi-Objective Optimization of Water Resources Allocation in Han River Basin (China) Integrating Efficiency, Equity and Sustainability. *Scientific reports*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-04734-2

Goh, S. C. (1985). Uncertainty, Power, and Organizational Decision Making: A Constructive Replication and Some Extensions. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences / Revue Canadienne Des Sciences De L Administration*, *2*(1), 177-191. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1936-4490.1985.tb00400.x

Gong, L., Miao, Y., Zhao, Y., Li, A., & Ren, H. (2023). Higher Vocational Students' Innovation and Entrepreneurship Ability Demand Prediction. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Ijet)*, *18*(08), 196-209. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v18i08.39249

Harris, C., Allen, K., Waller, C., & Brooke, V. (2017). Sustainability in Health Care by Allocating Resources Effectively (SHARE) 3: Examining How Resource Allocation Decisions Are Made, Implemented and Evaluated in a Local Healthcare Setting. *BMC Health Services Research*, *17*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2207-2

Hc, W. (2022). Priority Criteria for Community-Based Care Resource Allocation for Health Equity: Socioeconomic Status and Demographic Characteristics in the Multicriteria Decision-Making Method. *Healthcare*, *10*(7), 1358. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10071358

He, H., Chen, A., Yin, M., Ma, Z., You, J., Xie, X., Wang, Z., & An, Q. (2019). Optimal Allocation Model of Water Resources Based on the Prospect Theory. *Water*, *11*(6), 1289. https://doi.org/10.3390/w11061289

Ho, W., Dey, P. K., & Higson, H. (2006). Multiple Criteria Decision-making Techniques in Higher Education. *International Journal of Educational Management*, *20*(5), 319-337. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513540610676403

Jiang, Z. M., Chen, S., Apley, D. W., & Chen, W. (2016). Reduction of Epistemic Model Uncertainty in Simulation-Based Multidisciplinary Design. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, *138*(8). https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4033918

Kazu, İ. Y., & Kuvvetli, M. (2023). Navigating the Challenges of Education Management Best Practices for Improving Student Outcomes. *European Journal of Science and Technology*. https://doi.org/10.31590/ejosat.1259005

Li, L. M. W., Masuda, T., Hamamura, T., & Ishii, K. (2018). Culture and Decision Making: Influence of Analytic Versus Holistic Thinking Style on Resource Allocation in a Fort Game. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, *49*(7), 1066-1080. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022118778337

Lin, Y., Cheng, A., Hecker, K. G., Grant, V., & Currie, G. (2017). Implementing Economic Evaluation in Simulation-Based Medical Education: Challenges and Opportunities. *Medical Education*, *52*(2), 150-160. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13411

Phillips, L. D., & Carlos, A. B. e. C. (2007). Transparent Prioritisation, Budgeting and Resource Allocation With Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis and Decision Conferencing. *Annals of Operations Research*, *154*(1), 51-68. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-007-0183-3

Wall, A., Pruett, T. L., Stock, P. G., & Testa, G. (2020). Coronavirus Disease 2019: Utilizing an Ethical Framework for Rationing Absolutely Scarce Health-Care Resources in Transplant Allocation Decisions. *American Journal of Transplantation*, *20*(9), 2332-2336. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajt.15914

Wang, X., Wang, Y., Cui, Q., Chen, K.-C., & Ni, W. (2022). Machine Learning Enables Radio Resource Allocation in the Downlink of Ultra-Low Latency Vehicular Networks. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 44710-44723. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2022.3168986

Xu, W. (2023). Allocation of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Resources for Architectural Design Majors in Colleges and Universities Based on PSO Algorithm. 113-119. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-040-4_18

Zeng, J., Zhao, Y., & Zhang, X. (2010). Study on Collaboration Mechanisms of Information Resources Allocation Strategy in National Innovation System. https://doi.org/10.1109/cise.2010.5676985